

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/727,991	11/30/2000	Chung Liu	PALM-3234	6299	
7	590 11/30/2004		EXAM	IINER	
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			EL CHANTI	EL CHANTI, HUSSEIN A	
Two North Market Street, Third Floor San Jose, CA 95113			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2157		

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/727,991	LIU, CHUNG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hussein A El-chanti	2157				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. C) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Oc	ctober 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original than the original	epted or b) objected to by the large of the drawing of the drawing of the drawing of the large o	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to RCE received on Oct. 25, 2004. Claims 1, 16 and 17 were amended. Claims 1-27 are pending examination.

Drawings

2. The drawings were received on June 14, 2004. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Multer et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,671,757 (referred to hereafter as Multer).

As to claim 1, Multer teaches a method of updating a plurality of applications located on a first electronic device over a communication network including a second electronic device and third electronic device (see col. 3 lines 32-55), comprising the steps of:

a) automatically establishing communication between said second and third electronic devices in response to a synchronization process between said first electronic device and said second electronic device, said third electronic device supporting a first application from said plurality of applications (see col. 4 lines 19-30,);

Application/Control Number: 09/727,991

Art Unit: 2157

b) at said second electronic device, automatically determining if said third electronic device has a newer version of said first application than the version of said first application located on said first electronic device (see col. 4 lines 19-30 and col. 14 lines 56-col. 15 lines 50);

- c) automatically requesting from said third electronic device said newer version of said first application if said third electronic device has said newer version (see col. 4 lines 19-30); and
- d) after receiving said new version, automatically storing said newer version of said first application on said first electronic device when synchronizing said first electronic device with said second electronic device, wherein first electronic device is coupled to said second electronic device for synchronization (see col. 4 lines 19-30).

As to claims 2, 14 and 18, Multer teaches a method and system as described in Claims 1, 12 and 17 respectively, wherein said first electronic device comprises a palm sized computer system (see col. 9 lines 46-67).

As to claims 3, 15 and 19, Multer teaches a method and methodas described in Claims 1, 12 and 17, wherein said second electronic device comprises a host computer system (see col. 4 lines 19-30).

As to claims 4 and 20, Multer teaches a method and method as described in Claims 1 and 17 respectively, wherein step d) comprises the further step of docking said first electronic device to a cradle, said cradle coupled to said second electronic device (see fig. 6, 7 and 17 and its corresponding illustration).

Art Unit: 2157

As to claims 5 and 21, Multer teaches a method and system as described in Claims 1 and 17, wherein said third electronic device dynamically creates said newer version of said first application (see col. 4 lines 5-18).

As to claims 6 and 22, Multer teaches a method and method as described in Claims 1 and 17 respectively, wherein said third electronic device comprises at least one of the following devices:

a remote server computer system,

a remote computer system,

said second electronic device, and a computer directly coupled to said second device (see col. 3 lines 59-62).

As to claims 7 and 23, Multer teaches a method and system as described in Claims 1 and 17 respectively, wherein said first application comprises a web clipping application (see col. 7 lines 61-col. 8 lines 10).

As to claims 8 and 24, Multer teaches a method and system as described in Claims 1 and 17 respectively, wherein said newer version is personalized to a user of said first electronic device (see col. 12 lines 58-col. 13 lines 10).

As to claims 9 and 25, Multer teaches a method and system as described in Claims 1 and 17 respectively, wherein a conduit program associated with said first application, directs steps a), b), c), and d) (see col. 4 lines 19-30).

As to claims 10 and 26, Multer teaches a method and system as described in Claims 9 and 25 respectively, wherein said conduit program is activated by synchronizing said first electronic device with said second electronic device, wherein

steps a), b), c), and d) occur during the synchronization of said first and second electronic devices (see col. 4 lines 19-30).

As to claims 11 and 27, Multer teaches a method and system as described in Claims 1 and 17 respectively, wherein steps a), b), and c) occur before synchronizing said first electronic device with said second electronic device (see col. 4 lines 19-30).

As to claim 12, Multer teaches a method of creating a personalized and up-to-date application over a communication network comprising the steps of:

- a) receiving at a third electronic device from a second electronic device over said communication network a request for a newer version of a web clipping application, said request resulting from synchronizing said second electronic device with a first electronic device and determining that said third electronic device has said newer version than the version of said web clipping application located on said first electronic device, said first electronic device coupled to said second electronic device (see col. 4 lines 19-30, where the server represents said second electronic device);
- b) identifying a user associated with said first electronic device (see col. 35 lines 39-49);
- c) accessing information particular to said user (see col. 4 lines 19-30, where the server represents said second electronic device);
- d) dynamically creating an up-to-date web clipping application that is personalized to said user using said information (see col. 4 lines 19-30); and
- e) sending said personalized and up-to-date web clipping application to said second electronic device (see col. 7 lines 61-col. 8 lines 10).

As to claim 13, Multer teaches the method of claim 12 where personalized and up-to-date web clipping application, said third electronic device comprising at least one of the following:

server computer system;

a computer directly coupled to said second electronic device; and said second electronic device (see col. 7 lines 61-col. 8 lines 10).

As to claim 16, Multer teaches a method as described in Claim 12, wherein a conduit program associated with said web clipping application that is activated when synchronizing said first electronic device with said second electronic device comprises the following steps of:

determining if said third electronic device has said newer version (see col. 35 lines 12-65);

sending said request to said third electronic device (see col. 35 lines 12-65);
sending user identification information to said third electronic device, said user associated with said first electronic device (see col. 35 lines 12-65); and

storing said personalized and up-to-date web clipping application on said first electronic device (see col. 35 lines 12-65).

As to claim 17, Multer teaches a system comprising a first electronic device containing a plurality of applications, a second electronic device coupled to a communication network, said second electronic device including a processor, a memory unit, and a display screen wherein said memory contains

Application/Control Number: 09/727,991 Page 7

Art Unit: 2157

instructions that when executed implement of method of updating said plurality of applications, said method comprising the steps of:

- a) automatically establishing communication with a third electronic device coupled to said communication network that supports a first application from said plurality of applications, said establishing communication performed while said first electronic device is not coupled to said second electronic device in response to a synchronization process between said first electronic device and said second electronic device (see col. 4 lines 19-30);
- b) automatically determining if said third electronic device has a newer version of said first application than the version of said first application located on said first electronic device (see col. 4 lines 19-30 and col. 14 lines 56-col. 15 lines 50);
- c) automatically requesting from said third electronic device said newer version of said first application if said third electronic device has said newer version (see col. 4 lines 19-30); and
- d) after receiving said newer version, automatically storing said newer version of said first application on said first electronic device when synchronizing said first electronic device with said second electronic device, wherein first electronic device is coupled to said second electronic device for synchronization (see col. 4 lines 19-30).
- **4.** Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Page 8

In the remarks, the applicant argues in substance that; A) Multer does not teach an intermediately server that detects an update in the third device; B) Multer does not teach synchronizing the second device and the third device

In response to A) Applicant is arguing Multer does not teach an intermediately server that detects an update in the third device. This limitation is not found in the claims. The claim does nto specify which device detects the update. Claimed subject matter not the specification is the measure of the invention. Disclosure contained in the specification cannot be read into the claims for the purpose of avoiding prior art. In re Sporck, 55 CCPA 743, 386 F .2d 924, 155 USPQ 687 (1986); In re Self, 213 USPQ 1, 5 (CCPA 1982); In re Priest, 199 USPQ 11, 15 (CCPA 1978).

In addition Multer also teaches the sync engine detects an update in the second device where the sync server synchronizes the change on the second device with the sync engine by sending the difference data from the second device to the sync engine where the sync engine may be resident on a separate server (see col. 14 lines 55-col. 15 lines 67)

In response to B) Multer teaches the sync server synchronizes the change on the second device with the sync engine by sending the difference data from the second device to the sync engine where the sync engine may be resident on a separate server (see col. 14 lines 55-col. 15 lines 67)

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hussein A El-chanti whose telephone number is (571)272-3999. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/727,991 Page 9

Art Unit: 2157

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571)272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hussein Elchanti

Nov. 15, 2004

SALEH NAJJAR